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On 8 January 1894, the newspaper for traveling artists Internationale Artisten Revue opened a discussion about the mobility of musicians, artists, and singers with the following anecdote: "There is not enough space for all artists in the capital and, therefore, it is time to go on tour!" Having introduced this general observation about the development of urban popular entertainment, the article told the story of a vaudeville ensemble that had to go on tour to look for other performance venues because, so the argument went, there was an overabundance of performers in Vienna and Budapest and not enough space for all of them. According to the article, after a great deal of trouble the tickets had been bought and the whole vaudeville ensemble was at the train station, ready to depart, when "Miss X" the company’s star, was nowhere to be found. Finally, only when the second bell has rung does "Miss X" breathlessly rush in.

"Thank God," the director thinks with relief, but he’s given an even greater fright when Miss X explains to the director that she still needs 25 fl. [guilders] in addition to the 40 guilders she’s already received, or she will not to be able to join the trip. The director is broken; he has barely two fl. to his own name, but a clever director knows how to steal a little from Peter to pay Paul.

After the ensemble director expended much effort and promised additional money, "Miss X" said that she was willing to join the group. Finally, the director sat down, took a deep breath,

---
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and expressed the hope that he would not lose “Miss X” his Soubrette (the female singer of a vaudeville ensemble), along the way, because the success of the tour depended on her.4

With this story, the newspaper not only presented a picture of the mobility of actors and actresses in popular entertainment, but also mirrored the emergence of mass entertainment in the context of the migration movement at the turn of the twentieth century. With the inclusion of this anecdote, the Internationale Artisten Revue focused attention on two characteristics of the merging of mobility and popular entertainment. First, the article’s author stressed that, since the 1880s, so many performers had appeared in the metropolises that it had become incredibly difficult to secure an engagement. The increasing competition, however, was not the only reason for artists, vaudeville ensembles, and musicians working in the popular entertainment business to go on tour: many vaudeville ensembles, cabaret artists and variety stars made use of easier modes of travel by train and by steamer. Second, the article provided an apt picture of the important role that female musicians and actresses played in the vaudeville ensembles, even depicting their role as the most notable and relating the success in popular entertainment to the Soubrettes and actresses.

In this article, I investigate the coinciding of the mass migration from Europe to the Americas and the emergence of mass culture, two developments that shaped everyday life and popular entertainment at the turn of the twentieth century. Jews participated in the mass migration in disproportionately large numbers, and Jews also interacted with their non-Jewish peers in entertainment. Jewish actors and actresses were among the most prominent performers who staged their songs and plays in Orpheums, Variétés, and vaudevilles on both sides of the Atlantic. In their performances, they drew on the notion of a new quality of mobility that society was experiencing and utilized it to negotiate different identifications, such as issues of the cultural construction of identities and Jewishness, or to critically re-examine antisemitic or nationalistic attitudes. Owing to the impact of mobility, spaces of and
practices in popular entertainment changed, which in turn led to both discrimination and opportunity. On the one hand, in plays staged at popular venues mobility enabled negotiations of controversial issues. On the other hand, mobility also led to accusations being levelled against popular entertainment, both legitimately and erroneously. For example, it was asserted that *Varietés* (variety shows) sometimes functioned as covers for clandestine prostitution. Such accusations were a consequence of the fact that the performers’ methods of critically dealing with discourses were not appreciated by everyone, in particular by the political elites and administrations. Hence, I pose the question of how mobility influenced popular culture. What were the controversial issues that mobility raised and what accusations did these evoke? In what ways did actors and actresses in popular culture address gender and Jewishness? To answer these questions, I analyze the spaces of popular entertainment in Budapest, Vienna, and New York that flourished in the period from the 1880s until World War I.

**The Yearning for the “Old” Metropolises and the Appearance of Mobile Popular Entertainment**

The article in the *Internationale Artisten Revue* was published at a time when residents of the Hungarian royal capital were lusting after the good old Színház (theater), residents of the Austrian imperial capital, Vienna, were yearning for the golden days of the Volkssängertum, and the Great Yiddish Theater in New York was said to be on the verge of disappearing. The act of mourning for the “good old times”—the urban life of the metropolises in previous decades—had become a transnational phenomenon seen all around the world: Vienna mourned for Old Vienna, Budapest for Old Budapest, and New York for Old New York. The yearning was an internationally shared reaction to the growing urbanization in which city landscapes were rebuilt: streets were enlarged, avenues constructed, and parts of suburbs
Was that lamenting for the allegedly better, “good, old times,” the nostalgic “back-then”? Can any connection be drawn between this phenomenon and the heightened mobility of vaudeville groups? Or, seen from another perspective, did the audience and society even become afraid of the mobility of popular entertainment?

When the urban population yearned for better days, mobility in society in general was about to change. The cultural historian Moritz Csáky argued that mobility gained a new quality: migration for social, economic, political and/or professional reasons began to determine the patterns of everyday life and everyday entertainment. Between 1890 and 1914, approximately fifteen million people from Europe, including 3.8 million residents of the Habsburg monarchy, left for the United States. The number of Jews in this movement was disproportionally high, which is why scholarship calls it the “Jewish mass migration.”

Roughly 280,000 Jews made their way towards the United States, most of them, 240,000, from the eastern parts of the Habsburg monarchy, especially Galicia and Bukovina, the monarchy’s most populous but least advanced regions in economic terms. The Jewish population in these regions had relied more strongly than non-Jews on trading and agriculture, sectors that late industrialization had most affected. An economic depression, severe poverty, and demographic growth in the preceding decades had turned Galicia and Bukovina into the launching points for transatlantic migrants from Habsburg territories. The Jewish migrants from there were part of a total number of around two million Jews who emigrated westward from Eastern Europe. They relocated either to the larger cities of Austria-Hungary or attempted to reach the goldene medine—the golden land, the United States—and travel to New York, nourishing the pluricultural urban environment. Budapest, Vienna, and New York—the cities I focus on in this article—were among the most prominent sites of immigration from the eastern provinces of the Habsburg monarchy. Migration, however, did not solely go westwards; indeed, about one third of the migrants
returned to their homelands. New findings provide evidence that the rate of Jews among returnees was lower, although twenty percent of Jewish migrants returned to the Habsburg monarchy or made the journey between the continents more than once.

Not only migrants were on the move: the Volkssänger (folksingers) and participants of the vaudeville scene were part of this broader societal movement too. They formed a kind of seasonal migration pattern. Popular entertainment artists frequently traveled around the monarchy as well as between Europe and the United States. Temporary seasonal migrations like those of performing musicians had a significant impact on the number of people on the move, though they did not leave much of a paper trail in official records and thus remain difficult to trace. We can gain knowledge about the temporary mobility in popular entertainment mainly from its internal organization. From sources such as newspapers for traveling performers we learn that performers were hired to work abroad and (trans)migrated from one city to another. Usually, travel agents arranged and organized the performers’ mobility and provided them with contracts from establishments in the countries to which they went. As the opening anecdote aptly puts it, artists usually traveled in mixed groups of men and women—a travel habit that unsettled observers.

At first glance, the performers’ habit of coed travel displayed similarities to another growing field of migration: sex migration and the increasingly organized trafficking in women. Mobility in popular entertainment was therefore often (mistakenly) associated with white slavery and the mobility of sex workers who were brought to overseas regions by human traffickers. Labor migration and sex migration synchronized in many terms: according to the historian Kelly Stauter both “occurred at roughly the same moment, both engendered risks and abuses, and both were subject to nationalist pressures and imperial restrictions.” Narratives of intrigue, seduction, and conspiracy formed around these migration patterns, such as that women traveling alone relied on selling sex and ended up in
groups of young female and male companions who were sold into slavery to brothels abroad.25

Simultaneously with the mass migration and the increasing mobility of performers, popular entertainment developed into what research has depicted as “mass culture”—various types of entertainment that answered the quest for amusement of a huge number of people and, likewise, was available to and part of the everyday life of the vast majority of the people.26 They enjoyed the infinite pleasure of reading the so-called Groschenromane (penny novels), attending music halls and vaudeville shows, or strolling through amusement parks such as the Prater in Vienna, the Stadtwäldchen in Budapest, or the Vaudeville Park near Washington Square Park in New York.27

An analysis of precisely how these two simultaneous developments—the (Jewish) mass migration that led to a new quality of mobility and the emergence of mass culture in entertainment—intertwined has been lacking until now.28 Relatively little research has been done to date to explain the fact that many of the most popular actors and actresses, directors and managers in the vaudeville scene were Jewish.29 Historians Mary Gluck and Klaus Hödl state that this was because much of the historiography has tended to associate Jews in Budapest and Vienna mainly with so-called high culture.30 Investigating examples of interactions between Jews and non-Jews in mobile popular entertainment promises innovative insights into everyday lives and experiences during the new transatlantic migration movement at the turn of the twentieth century.

The Making of Mobile Popular Entertainment

At the onset of the twentieth century many newspapers for the entertainment sector, such as Der Artist or the Internationale Artisten Revue, published articles discussing the “gradual expansion” in the Artistenwesen (a general expression for the field of vaudevolles and music
halls) and reported on the spread of popular entertainment. Increasing numbers of artists traveled between cities to stage their repertoires. In addition, the vaudeville scene was closely associated with migration because becoming an artist or working in a Varieté offered migrants their first opportunity to earn money. It emerged as a field that was limited neither to location nor to a small group of people and was open and approachable for creative minds who dared to have the courage to try making a living in this new economic sector. It thus provided conditions in which Jews could become many of the most popular performers and directors.

The new opportunities for mobility led to a general change in popular entertainment. Whereas in previous years the Volkssänger had mostly performed individual acts in taverns for which they had been hired by their own arrangement, by the turn of the century popular entertainment had become better organized. Up until the 1880s it was common for taverns to employ singers as entertainment to their guests, or at least as an accompaniment for dining or drinking. In those days, only a few people—the so-called old folksingers or “alte Volkssänger”—plied this trade; there were far fewer of these individuals than inns and it was thus easier for the singers to find employment. Serving beverages and food to the guests was the main purpose of these inns. Enjoying entertainment with their food and drinks, however, gained popularity among the guests. From the 1880s onward, directors, who were themselves predominantly former Volkssänger, founded venues and ran fixed stages where they offered entertainment on a permanent basis. Artists no longer performed alone in taverns and inns but staged their repertoire with a group of artists and musicians in programs consisting of several different acts in the new music halls and vaudevilles. The programs there lasted about one to two hours and were repeated several times a day during afternoons and evenings. By performing as part of a program, the artists from abroad could use the same facilities as the ensemble they joined, including the “name of the stage” and its concession. Thus, the
traveling performers gained the administrative benefit of avoiding the effort involved in acquiring permits of their own to stage plays in each new city they visited.33

The administrative advantages that an increasing number of artists were able to make use of led to an increase in entertainment spaces. From 1890 to 1900 the number of registered Variétés and Etablissements in Budapest and Vienna doubled while the number of venues in New York even quadrupled (in the case of music halls, but not the big Yiddish theaters, which suffered from the competition music halls represented). In addition to fixed stages, open-air venues were established. Over the summer, when the local ensembles were on tour, the cities offered several stages, mostly in (entertainment) parks, to ensure that their inhabitants would not face a lack of amusement. The Sommeretablissement at the Kaisergarten in the Prater welcomed traveling entertainment groups and offered them not only the stage but also costumes, instruments, and even engaged musicians that they could use for staging their repertoires.34 The venue in Budapest’s Városliget (also called Stadtwäldchen, central park), surrounded by the amusement park’s carousels, as well as the small entertainment park at Washington Square Park or Fort George, known as “Harlem’s Coney Island,” in New York did likewise.35

Managers, agents and other associates of the popular scene created more organizational infrastructure for the music business: newspapers operating on an international basis and agencies supporting the traveling stars emerged.36 Artists and vaudeville managers built international relationships and exchanged and evaluated forms of social insurance, simplifications of transatlantic travel routes, and the foundations of associations.37

The Internationale Artisten Revue was one of these newly evolved newspapers. Founded in Budapest in 1891 by Ferdinand Steiner, a member of the famous Viennese Jewish entertainment family Steiner, the weekly newspaper corresponded with cities all over Europe and exchanged frequently with its North American counterpart, the New York Clipper.38 As
early as 1900, only nine years after its foundation, the *Internationale Artisten Revue* was corresponding with more than two hundred establishments and eighty agencies in more than sixty cities around the world.39

**Strolling Through the Fin de Siècle in Budapest, Vienna, and New York**

The change of the urban landscapes reflected the mobile trend in the popular entertainment sector. Budapest’s Kérepeti út (Kérepeti Street), which leads from the Erzsébet bridge over the Danube to the Keleti pályaudvar (east train station) and the Fuimei cemetery, was a characteristic boulevard of the fin-de-siècle metropolis. This modern boulevard was peppered with theaters, *Künstlerheime* (hotels for performers) and—by and by—*Variétés, Etablissements*, and music halls. Situated in Erszébetváros, the seventh district of Budapest, the boulevard was bounded at its far end by the Andrássy út, directly in one of the most popular areas of Jewish life. Strolling down the Kérepeti boulevard also meant passing through a vibrant center of Jewish life and the most famous vaudeville, Folies Caprice, which served as a model for the *Variétés (Mustervariété)* that were then springing up in Budapest, Vienna, and New York—all of which were undergoing similar developments in their urban entertainment scenes.40

Inspired by the Chat Noir de Paris, the Folies Caprice, founded by Antal Oroszi, was one of the very first venues of this kind. Oroszi was not only the director of a *Varieté* but also a famous playwright. Using the pseudonym Caprice, the Jewish playwright wrote some of the most popular pieces of the time, including *Klabriaspartie* and *Romeo Kohn und Julie Lewi*.41 The *Wiener Extrapost*, a Viennese weekly newspaper, compared the Folies Caprice with one of Vienna’s most famous *Volkssänger* taverns of decades past, saying that it was as famous “[a]s the Sperl had once been in Vienna.”42 Visitors from abroad flooded the Folies Caprice, and international actors and actresses were continually part of the programs staged there. In
1901, numerous audience requests for guest performances by the actors from the Folies Caprice in Budapest led to an ongoing discussion as to whether or not to establish another Folies Caprice in Vienna. This caused what would later be called the Volkssängerkrieg (folksinger war), a phenomenon that revolved around fears of competition on the part of the directors of the Variétés and members of the Viennese popular scene.43

That they served as role models for the steadily growing urban entertainment scene was not the only connection between the two metropolises of the monarchy. The interaction between the Viennese and Budapest performers was especially close regarding the exchange of artists: Mendel Rottmann regularly performed as Max Rott at the Mustervariété Folies Caprice. The Viennese Volkssänger and playwright Heinrich Eisenbach also performed at Somossy’s Orpheum, the “first Vaudeville of the capital,” as well as at Herzmann’s Orpheum in Budapest. The performers from Vienna were so close to the Budapest scene that Eisenbach even married his long-term partner, Anna Ferry, in Somossy’s Orpheum between their guest performances held in November 1893.44

Apart from the large number of Jews operating as actors, Soubrettes, or directors, the vaudeville scenes were closely, but not exclusively,45 connected with districts that had relatively high Jewish populations and were associated with Jewish cultures: Budapest’s Erszébetváros, New York’s Lower East Side, and Vienna’s Leopoldstadt.46 In addition, the Viennese vaudeville scene flourished in the sixth and ninth districts as well as in the very city center, where Max Rott opened his Variété Max und Moritz in the direct vicinity of St. Stephan’s cathedral.47 Unlike Budapest, the Viennese scene was made up of ensembles that staged productions in more or less fixed arrangements, but which worked to attain better conditions in the different possible venues over the years. For this reason it was customary for an audience to see one group at Taborstraße 12 one year and find another there the following year.48 In New York, the part of the Lower East Side bisected by Broadway—the
Bowery—was in especially high demand when it came to the quest for amusement. A huge number of theaters and, later, music halls were to be found in the middle of that neighborhood, which was home to three quarters of New York’s Jewish population between 1890 and 1900.\textsuperscript{49} The \textit{Journal of Old New York} wrote as early as 1890 that “[t]he Englishman, the Frenchman, the Russian, who has not visited New York, still knows that its glory is Broadway.”\textsuperscript{50} Broadway was not only beloved by its audience, but also by the artists, who tried to become part of it themselves so they could perform in one of the small cafés or the recently emerging music halls in Lower Manhattan.\textsuperscript{51}

The flourishing entertainment scenes in these neighborhoods welcomed a highly diverse, pluricultural audience. The sites of popular entertainment played an important role in the everyday life of the bulk of the population. They provided a space where people from different social, political, and ethnic backgrounds could gather, meet, and interact. The sites of popular entertainment functioned as spaces of Jewish and non-Jewish relations and provided the space to address and discuss issues such as antisemitism or gender norms. The unique composition of the spaces of popular entertainment as places to which people ran in search of amusement enabled these discussions. In pursuit of their goal, they were largely indifferent to controversial political, cultural, and social issues. Thus, issues that were not negotiable in other contexts could be discussed—a topic to which I will return shortly.\textsuperscript{52}

**Risks for Mobile Performers in Popular Entertainment**

Despite the new opportunities for mobility, it was still not easy to cross the Atlantic. Vaudeville managers provided good traveling and working conditions as well as contracts for actors from the Habsburg monarchy and Europe so that they could work for months at a stretch on stages in the United States. Artists and vaudeville managers built international relationships, exchanged views, and evaluated themes including social insurance, ways to
simplify transatlantic travel, and settings for the foundation of associations. The members of Vienna’s popular scene were among the first to establish such an association for artists and actors in 1893. They called their association Der lustige Ritter and advertised it with the slogan “Dem Humor eine Gasse, das Wohlthun als Ziel!” Members of the Budapest scene initially joined this association before establishing their own, the Budapest Artisten-Verein, in 1900. Both associations actively intervened for social security and old-age provision for artists and actors and tried to provide for their poorer colleagues whose success in the profession had declined or who were unable to pursue their profession due to an occupational injury. Although these associations also supported the traveling activities of their members, further associations catering specifically to traveling vaudeville managers were subsequently founded in the years preceding World War I. These aimed to provide help and information for ensembles and directors who wanted to arrange and optimize their transatlantic tours independently. As part of the Association of Vaudeville Managers of the United States, the Association of Traveling Variety Managers organized regular meetings and formed a committee that provided information for managers on request. In Europe, after the end of World War I the Internationale Artisten Organisation was founded to work cooperatively beyond the structure of the new propagandized nation states.

Crossing the Atlantic, however, naturally still entailed several risks, and this was one reason for the establishment of an international artists’ organization and network. In January 1894, an artist who had just arrived back in Europe after having traveled through North America wrote a letter to the editor of the Internationale Artisten Revue seeking to have it published and read by other traveling artists:

I was engaged for a thirty-week tour in America and Canada by Imre Fox in Vienna in May 1893. From 2 November 1893 to 1 May 1894, I was engaged at Koster & Biale in New York [one of the great music halls, SK]. On the morning
of 2 November, we drove to a small venue where the first performance took place; from then on, we traveled nearly every day. On the evening of Sunday, 7 November, we performed in Wilmington. After this performance, Imre Fox told us he would travel to New York on business and he would return to the association in Bethlehem by Monday. On 9 November, we traveled with his brother-in-law, Mr. Clark, to Bethlehem. We prepared everything for the evening show, and the audience was just arriving at the theater, but Imre Fox had not yet arrived. We waited for all trains arriving from New York, but none of them brought Imre. Finally, we had to cancel the performance and return the money to the audience. […] Since we had no director, we drove back to New York that same evening; I went to Mrs. Fox, who told me in tears that her husband had disappeared; she had searched for him in all the hospitals and even called the police but had not found any trace of him so far. She firmly believed that her husband had been murdered. Only by 10 November did she know for sure that her husband had run away to Europe and left her without any funds. 59

With this letter, the author wanted to warn his colleagues about the agent who had betrayed him and the ensembles he worked with and thereby protect other artists from a similar fate. The artist, however, also requested help and tried to find support among the readers of the newspapers in the hope that the fraudulent agent could be arrested and he would finally receive payment for his work. The newspaper *Internationale Artisten Revue* provided help during the search for Imre Fox—as it did frequently in other, similar cases—and in the next issue it reported that he had been caught in Vienna due to the assistance of the readers. 60

Support within the international artists’ scene was strong not only in preventing financial but also sexual abuse. 61
Mobility and the Allegation of Selling Sex

While the creation of organizational features such as the *Internationale Artisten Revue* provided artists with help, their mobility and the newly developed infrastructure related to it (such as housing) led to allegations being raised against the popular entertainment scene. The places where the traveling artists slept, the *Artistenheime*, or theatrical lodgings, made the scene appear quite similar to that of prostitution. *Artistenheime* were located either next to or directly above the performance venues and provided rooms where artists from abroad could spend the night. Because these rooms were private and could thus not be easily monitored, some members of the public assumed that they served as locations for “silent prostitution.” This allegation led to what would soon be called “adverse circumstances.” Moreover, the alleged “ungrounded attitude” of the traveling performers raised concerns among members of the public. These allegations were directly connected, on the one hand, to an argument about the use of space, and on the other hand, to an argument about movement and traveling that was strongly interrelated with gender attributes, both female and male.

The mobility of the popular entertainment scene included many traveling female performers. They used to travel together with either their ensembles or their—mostly male—agents. The image evoked by these mostly young women en route with male agents and companions was similar to that of women who were victims of human traffickers. Especially in Galicia and Bukovina as well as along the routes between the Habsburg capitals and Eastern European provinces, the threat of trafficking women increased enormously in the last decades of the nineteenth century. Human traffickers contacted women either in their hometowns or while they traveled alone. Keely Stauter-Halsted illustrates that traffickers convinced women to follow them by claiming that they would hire them in honest positions or by proposing to them. Instead, these women found themselves in brothels. Although Nancy Wingfield argues that “these girls and young women were not simply victims, but
sometimes willing participants, or something in between,”\textsuperscript{65} the discourse of the last decades of the nineteenth century focused solely on the subject of seduced female victims. Thus, women traveling alone led to a “panic” concerning seduction by human traffickers. Parents were warned to take care of their daughters so as to prevent them from becoming victims of traders in white slavery and being kidnapped to southeastern Europe, the Russian Empire, or South America.\textsuperscript{66}

Female voyagers in popular entertainment coincided with the stereotype of trafficking in women. \textit{Damenkappellen} (ladies’ orchestras)\textsuperscript{67} were among the first performers to make their living by traveling around, performing all over Europe. As such, they were the first to stand in the spotlight of what would become frequent public allegations. There is evidence that in some cases the \textit{Damenkappellen} led women into prostitution, however randomly.\textsuperscript{68} Nevertheless, the perceived link between traveling performers and clandestine prostitution spread immensely and confronted the female performers in vaudeville in the following decades. The international artistic business boom and the appearance of a new infrastructure for traveling stars in the fin de siècle caused this perception to grow, and the \textit{Soubrettes} who performed in the \textit{Variétés} on both sides of the Atlantic only nourished it further.\textsuperscript{69}

\textit{Soubrettes} were tough actresses who wrote and staged their own songs as part of the various programs of \textit{Variétés}. These confident female singers won the hearts of their audience by charming them during performances—a way of interacting with their audience that was considered by some to be obscene. Moreover, the female \textit{Variétés} stars operated in the public sphere. Since this conflicted greatly with the view of women at the time—namely that they, like all things feminine, should be confined to the private sphere—these female performers were accused of violating several of the stereotypes of femininity. Two arguments were put forward stating why the female singers could not be professionals, but were instead only interested in earning easy money as prostitutes: first, the fact that the music
performances took place outside the home and second, that these performers were travelers and the audience therefore had no idea where their home (or what they considered home) might be.  

One of the allegations was that, due to the “obscene” acts of the Soubrettes and the directors who exploited them, the whole vaudeville scene would become a hotspot for “rough obscenities” (rohe Obszönitäten) and, accordingly, for clandestine prostitution. Regarding the Folies Caprice, the Budapesti Hírlap reported that “two or three secret rooms existed, which the police were not aware of.” These private rooms could provide spaces for silent prostitution and critics propounded that infringements against morality would occur more frequently due to the growing number of vaudeville halls and Artistenheimen: “In the vaudeville halls, infringements occur regularly despite police controls.” The Soubrettes were allegedly engaged in duplicity, and the director compelled them “to stay together in separate coffee shop-like establishments and tempt men whom they addressed during the performance to consume drinks” in the restaurants owned by the vaudeville directors.

Additionally, the agencies that tried to connect international artists and musicians with directors and managers were accused of exploiting young and impressionable girls. The male agents and managers would betray their female clients and lure them to establishments and ensembles under false pretenses. In October 1912 the Ministry of the Interior became aware of grievances lodged against a hotel and Varieté. Jacques Weiser, who, according to the police record was a Jewish artists’ manager from Budapest actually named Jakob Weiss, was accused of seducing young girls in a Hôtel-Varieté. Of Weiser’s activities, the Zentralstelle zur Überwachung des Mädchenhandels (Central Office for Monitoring the Trafficking in Women) informed the Ministry of the Interior that “for the Hotel-Varieté Opera—a low-class location—underage girls are engaged by Viennese performing artist agencies under the guise of artistic productions; but they are aware that the women will be
exploited by the so-called directors and members of the artistic management and forced into prostitution as well.”74 The accusation brought against Weiser illustrates both the close interrelatedness of the discourses on traveling vaudeville performers and on traffickers of women, and the increasing antisemitism in the panic of trafficking.75 Nancy Wingfield argues that, since the Lemberg trial in which twenty-seven Galician Jews were charged with hijacking women in brothels against their wills, both Jewish organizations and anti-Semites in Austria focused on the preponderance of Eastern European Jews in trafficking in women.76

Such accusations devalued the whole scene of etablissements, traveling Volkssänger ensembles, and variety shows and were thus welcomed by critics of the popular scene. They held up these allegations as proof that confirmed their reservations, assuming that the standards held by all members of the scene were low and vulgar. Both men and women were suspected not to be working as professional artists or serving their art, but to be either perpetrators or victims of exploitation instead. However, whereas men were depicted as the acting subjects—agents who could influence and exploit the women—the women’s voices were silenced and their words were not entered into the police reports.77

The passivity assigned to female actors seems particularly striking since the anecdote in the Internationale Artisten Revue cited above depicted the Soubrette “Miss X” as the most important member of the ensemble. But what kind of picture did the anecdote of the traveling Soubrette present? The anecdote portrayed the actress as traveling with a group and thus specifically did not allow the abduction fear for women traveling alone to arise.78 Another method of prevention from becoming seduced into prostitution was financial security, a point the article confirmed in assuring that the Soubrette traveled with sufficient money. What might be perceived as enterprising was also a reference to financial security, which women needed to have while traveling. The anecdote thus rejected any necessity on behalf of traveling actresses to earn money by any means other than through performances and
suggested that female members of the popular scene did not need to earn money as prostitutes.79

Gender and Jewishness in the Urban Experiences of Popular Entertainment

The spread of the vaudeville and entertainment scene into the urban and private spaces that the Variétés and their accompanying accommodations provided for the mobile performers led to the creation of harsh gender-based clichés and allegations of clandestine prostitution. In the context of the panic concerning trafficking at that time, the topics of masculinity and femininity had become subjects of in-depth discussions as a result of the expansion and mobility of the scene. The male agent who hired Soubrettes under the guise of working in popular entertainment was depicted by the police as Jewish and the active part of an entrapment scheme, whereas neither any signifiers of Jewishness nor further personal affiliations on the part of his victims were addressed.80 However, unlike in the discourses on trafficking and clandestine prostitution as they appeared, for example, in the previously quoted police report, signifiers of “Jewish” affiliation of protagonists were not mentioned at all for performers in popular entertainment. Although antisemitism was observed in most contexts at the time, newspaper critics, reports on Variétés, and other such publications made few statements about Jews, either as actors and Soubrettes or as directors of establishments. This leads me to the question of how Jewish and gendered identifications intersected in the vaudeville experience.

The plot and the composition of spaces of popular entertainment diverged concerning affiliations of Jewishness. Firstly, delving into the interrelatedness of Budapest, Vienna, and New York, I highlighted that Jews took part in the popular entertainment scene in all three cities and that this scene in each of them was located in areas with a large Jewish population. Secondly, a substantial number of actors and Soubrettes in popular entertainment were Jews.
Many of them performed under stage names, which has often been interpreted in research hitherto as a particularly “Jewish” practice. However, in popular entertainment non-Jews also tended to invent an international-sounding *nom de plume* to garner more interest. Considering the paradox of these three observations, the international sphere of popular entertainment created by the new mobility seems to have enabled a kind of Jewish “passing.” Although the society made few references to a “Jewish” affiliation of performers, artists strongly addressed Jewishness in their songs and plays, a phenomenon that led historian Mary Gluck to describe the vaudeville scene in fin-de-siècle Budapest as a space where the otherwise “invisible Jewish Budapest” became visible.

In the last part of this paper, I will therefore focus on the experiences in the vaudevilles, specifically questioning the part of the urban experience therein; this will lead me to investigate the notion of Jewish passing in the international sphere of popular entertainment and compare it to the notion regarding gender assertions made due to mobility. In both contexts there seems to be a gap between the gendered/Jewish allegations and the way gender and Jewishness were staged in performances. Were *Variétés* only discussed as covers for clandestine prostitution? Or did the *Variété* also provide a space to negotiate Jewishness and gender norms? And what impact did mobility have on the plot of popular entertainment at the time? Did it result only in allegations or might it even have enabled negotiation of such stereotypes? A glance into the contents discussed in popular entertainment might not only answer these questions but also give an idea why society became afraid (of the possibilities) of mobility in popular entertainment.

A few examples can serve to make these points more concrete. I will introduce an actor and an actress, both of whom came face to face with mobility and its practical effects and also with (negotiating) Jewish passing and visibility. Risa Basté was one of the most famous Viennese *Volkssängerinnen* (female folksingers) and *Soubrettes* in the fin-de-siècle Habsburg
monarchy. She staged songs and couplets in the most popular Etablissements and the newspapers widely reported this Soubrette’s activities. She was married to another well-known performer in popular entertainment, Adolf Glinger. Glinger was born Adolf Hargesheimer in the Hungarian capital of Budapest and migrated to Vienna to work as a Volkssänger and playwright, during which time he assumed his nom de plume. The young couple traveled continually between the cities of the Habsburg monarchy. The newspapers of Budapest, Prague, Linz, and many other towns and cities were filled with stories featuring their names. Over the years, they became acquainted and worked together with both notable Jewish and non-Jewish Volkssänger of the time: Heinrich Eisenbach, the couple Paula Walden and Otto Taussig, and Max Rott—all of whom performed and lived under pseudonyms. Their meeting points were the Budapester Orpheumgesellschaft, the group Max and Moritz, and other ensembles, in all of which Jews and non-Jews performed together. It was here that Risa Basté and Adolf Glinger met and fell in love. They married in March 1904, and henceforth their traveling activity in the variety scene was a prime occupation of their lives. The work and lives of the two were closely interrelated. Performers in general associated themselves with their profession so strongly that they even settled matters of their private lives in the Variétés; that the marriage of the Viennese performers Heinrich Eisenbach and Anna Ferry took place in Somossy’s Orpheum in Budapest during a tour is a case in point.

Since mobility had a fundamental impact on popular entertainment, it comes as no surprise that mobility and migration also influenced the performances of the vaudeville groups. The Volkssänger and Variété stars continually addressed mobility and traveling during their performances and in doing so picked up different stereotypes along the way, formed troupes, or played on subjects to reinterpret them—all under the angle of mobility. The popular plays positioned themselves in the context of utopian texts that emerged in
unison and sought to offer alternative perspectives on class, ethnic, or gender barriers and conflicts. Literature also used the metaphor of traveling in order to locate contested gender relations or antisemitism in remote or (alleged) imaginary spaces. Likewise, traveling was interpreted from manifold perspectives, and just as trips could have many different reasons, the images of travelers in the performances were multi-layered and not exclusively positive. The artists often juxtaposed those images in the broader context of (antisemitic, anti-Bohemian, etc.) stereotypes in their pieces.

In her song *Der Reisekoffer*, Risa Basté told the audience about a journey she took to see her aunt. She assumed the role of a woman who traveled alone. Embodying the panic of human trafficking, the *Soubrette* herself and the setting of the scene created a scenario that was hard to imagine in fin-de-siècle society: “I am coming from a journey, as you can tell from looking at me / and a terrible mishap occurred on the train / a man sat in a corner, at night we tittle-tattle a bit / And we swapped our luggage in the heat of the moment.”

The *Soubrette* informed the audience that she had to promise that she would travel in the *Damencoupé*—a train compartment for women only. She also promised not to make contact with any men. However, she broke both promises. As it turned out in this 1902 song, the woman swapped her luggage with another person; after a stimulating encounter with a travel companion, she now had a man’s suitcase instead of her own luggage. She was therefore afraid of what her aunt would think. Portraying the image of the supposedly innocent bourgeois daughter she asked the audience for advice:

- The aunt is very mean, oh no, and gave strict orders
  
  To only use the women’s compartment to come here.
  
  I say: Aunty, eh, of course, your wish is my command,
  
  But if one disobedys, punishment follows immediately.
  
  Who can help me with an idea? How does such a thing get into the women’s compartment (showing a pair of braces and other pieces of male clothing).
At this point the song turned out to be more subversive than one might expect: At the turn of the century, it was not appropriate for women to talk about men’s clothing. In Die Welt von Gestern (The World of Yesterday), Stefan Zweig wrote that new words had to be invented so that women would not need to use intolerable words such as “trousers”; women had to refer to trousers as the “unspeakable” (die Unaussprechlichen).92 Instead of singing about the Unaussprechlichen, the Jewish Soubrette sang about the “missing” content of the suitcase. She did not use the words for what she found in her suitcase—namely the men’s clothing which she, as a woman, was not allowed to talk about. Instead she showed the audience what she found. Considering that women were not allowed to use most of the words for male garments, Risa Basté acted confidently. She held a mirror up to the audience expressing what her aunt—who represented the rest of society—expected from a young woman, but also expressed that she wanted neither her aunt nor these members of society to tell her what to do.

The silencing of women’s voices in the official discourse of the previously quoted police records obscured the role the actresses played within the ensembles and the confidence expressed by the female musicians and Volkssängerinnen (Soubrettes) in their performances. Risa Basté exposed and challenged the gap between supposedly forbidden behavior and the sexual pursuits of women and confronted her audience with the fact that she preferred traveling in a shared train compartment with men. As the Soubrette continued her performance of Der Reisekoffer, the stage became covered with pieces of men’s clothing. Referring to this situation, the newspapers emphasized that the Soubrette knew how to turn the atmosphere of the Varieté into a private bedroom. Nonetheless, the censorship (which was restrictive concerning sexuality at the turn of the century) did not prohibit her from staging the song.93
Notwithstanding society’s restrictive attitude, in the unique atmosphere of popular culture the actors and actresses even managed to spark a vivid and in-depth discussion about gender boundaries by utilizing the metaphor of mobility. Transferring the scenario to a neutral enclosed space of a train allowed the performers to act out what was not possible in reality. At the Variétés around 1900 the actors and actresses established the practice of gender bending. Risa Basté perfected this kind of gender bending together with one of her partners, Mr. Man de Wirth. Unlike in earlier performances of Hosenrollen, not only one actor made up the scene, but two—a man and a woman—each performing the other sex: “Mr. Man de Wirth and Ms. Risa Basté still exert the most powerful force to draw attention. They both contrast with each other in an interesting way—Mr. Man de Wirth as a lady and Ms. Basté as a gentleman. Both successfully studied the strengths and weaknesses of the ‘other’ gender and provide imitations which cannot be distinguished from the originals.”

Having a female and a male performer each staging the opposite sex, it became possible under the guise of mobility and internationality in the urban sphere of popular entertainment to both mention in public and discuss gender and sex from the perspectives of both men and women.

A different piece, Der Afrikareisende, utilized the key of suggesting mobility together with the international sphere of popular entertainment to negotiate Jewishness by juxtaposing it with colonial stereotypes. Der Afrikareisende was written by the Jewish Volkssänger Heinrich Eisenbach, who was popular for deploying and making fun of “jargon”—an often antisemitic depiction of a mixed language of Viennese dialect, Yiddish fragments, and German. The Afrikareisende, Wenzel Jerabek, is depicted as a Bohemian citizen and quite an unsavory character, who is a famous man after having traveled through Africa and who wants to convey his global knowledge to the audience. He unfolds his observations while simultaneously sharing his life story. In doing so, the Jewish Volkssänger performing as a traveler to Africa compares the experiences he has made on the journey with (his)
socialization in the Habsburg monarchy, all performed in a mixture of Yiddish, Czech, and Viennese dialect.

You do not need to be afraid of me,
Even though I appear a bit wild,
I will do you no harm,
Because I am a Bohemian guy! Wenzel Jerabek
is my name and I deal with Africanists!97

The play Der Afrikareisende applies the metaphor of traveling to replace stereotypes, transferring them from the Habsburg monarchy to a new and faraway surrounding—in this case to Africa. The setting functioned as a dummy for the censorship and audience to respectively relocate and distance political problems of the time. This was an expanding approach also known in literature, opera, and operetta. For example, the forgotten 1885 operetta of the same name (Der Afrikareisende) by Franz von Suppé, and Leopold von Sacher-Masoch’s 1901 novel Afrika’s Semiramis apply the same strategy to discuss problems of the Habsburg monarchy by proxy outside its territory. By relocating the setting, Eisenbach’s play pokes fun at and exaggerates the stereotypes with which most members of the audience were familiar.98 Every second person living in fin-de-siècle Vienna had not been born in the city. Czech-speakers were the largest group of immigrants in Vienna followed by the Jewish migrants from the monarchy’s eastern provinces. The stereotypes of these two groups were the most common in the daily urban experience, as reflected by Eisenbach in this text:

In Africa there is a big,
big meadow. There, you see neither a tree,
nor a bush nor a tavern,
nor do they have a gas light.
There’s the Jewish desert

Sahrah [sic]. Once, I was walking around in the Sahrah [sic]

and heard

a terrible roar and,

suddenly from the distance a powerful

lion jumped onto me.

Oh, I thought, this is dobre

Noz! [Czech for “goodnight”] As you know, Bohemians are

not timid. But either way

It’s not easy to fight a lion by

Yourself. The lion

Bounded in my direction opening its jaw,

As big as the outer

Palace gate [in Vienna], with the intention of eating me.

Out of fear I started

To shout in Czech: Strč

Prst, skrsk, krk! [Shove your finger down your throat] As the lion

Heard that, he opened his mouth,

Started to laugh, and looked

At me in a friendly manner, then he turned around

And walked slowly away. And

As I look closer at him

I saw he had two tails. He was a Bohemian

Lion, my fellow countryman.
The play thus adopts signifiers of Jewish, Czech, and colonial ascriptions. While Africa is totally unfamiliar, the Afrikareisende is able to communicate in Yiddish and Czech and assigns a Jewish connotation to the place when he mistakes it for the Jewish desert “Sahrah.”

The play employs the phonetic proximity of the German pronunciation of the African desert “Sahara” and the (allegedly Jewish) female name “Sarah” to superimpose the Zionist discourse of the time, when Palestine was commonly spoken of as the “Jewish desert.”

Strolling through the faraway “Jewish desert Sahrah,” he meets a lion. What is more, although the protagonist is not familiar with the animal in its “new” appearance, he identifies it as Czech by associating it with the Czech language and heraldic symbol. He survives this dangerous encounter because he is able to communicate with the allegedly dangerous lion.

Moreover, the Afrikareisende expects the audience to understand this reference to something that was usually denounced as Böhmakeln—speaking in Bohemian idiom—in the Habsburg monarchy.

It may seem as though these performances were mainly highlighting differences. Yet whatever these assertions were meant to evoke, the director of the piece never created a total opposition between the self and the other. Der Afrikareisende used an irrelevant setting (as far as the censorship was concerned, i.e. Africa) to negotiate not only the problem of pejorative clichés but also colonialism. The Afrikareisende depicts the Bohemians as stupid, greedy, uneducated, and unwilling to work. However, the Afrikareisende calls himself Wenzel, which is intended to be recognized as a Bohemian name. Even if the character Wenzel Jerzabek staged by Heinrich Eisenbach is supposed to recall the stereotype of the uneducated Bohemian, the character is invented and portrayed in a way to which the audience would react with empathy. Moreover, the audience of course experiences the play through this character, which simultaneously functions as a communicator between Yiddish, Czech, and Viennese slang, as well as between familiar and unknown spaces. With the character
Wenzel, staged by a Jewish *Volkssänger*, the audience experienced a twofold passing, both that of several Jewish spheres—lingual and spatial—and that of the immigrant’s experience, represented by the allegedly non-Jewish immigrant per se, the Czech-speaking immigrant. Tough Bohemia in particular was cast in the discourse of the time as a territory with an almost entirely Jewish population. Finally, the play indirectly ascribes thinking in stereotypes to those holding a colonial perspective of the world. It pokes fun at those who attended the new kind of random “anthropological” evening lectures in which a supposed scientist-traveler presented a European audience with pictures of the “exotic” indigenous population they encountered on their journeys.101

In *Der Reisekoffer*, the initially harmless situation of traveling enabled the mutually intended, sexual contact between two strangers. On the stage, the tête-à-tête could be negotiated by referring to traveling and settling the scenario in a mobile and neutral space. These performances of the *Soubrettes* conveyed vivid images of confident women who cleverly used the metaphor of traveling to transfer content that was considered critical by the censorship of the time to an allegedly harmless and unimportant location. Thus, as a part of their performances, they were even able to convert gender stereotypes. Risa Basté addressed gender differences with regard to masculine characteristics as perceived by her as a woman. However, she not only referred to her handling of the unfortunate situation. She also introduced the male position and accordingly included both sides of the stereotype.

The performances played along a distinguishable “scale” between total difference and total similarity, a strategy that was intended to help the audience members become more familiar with the content of the piece.102 Thus, I argue that by presenting this composition of multi-layered differences to the audience, the director stressed the perception of similarities equally. With reference to Sigmund Freud, a joke cannot be appreciated if no one can empathize with the person/character who is telling it. A feeling of empathy is only evoked
when an (apparent) opposition arises, which also retrieves similarities in the situation. By enabling perceptions of similarities under the guise of mobility, reversing antisemitic stereotypes, and transferring controversial issues to faraway places, the performers were able to pass various ostensible boundaries, such as gender and Jewish visibility and invisibility. The metaphor of traveling was used as a dummy for censorship, while on the other hand functioning virtually as a figure of vagabondage. In doing so, the plays went beyond mere political and cultural critique and engaged the audience in self-critique.

Conclusion

One must include the influence of mobility when considering what determined popular entertainment at the turn of the twentieth century in order to understand its attraction and success. The spread of popular entertainment (“mass culture”) coincided with a change in the degree of mobility in society in general, which subsequently influenced popular culture on various levels. Larger numbers of musicians and artists started to operate outside their local regions, which afforded a specific infrastructure. Vaudeville directors opened Artistenheime, hotel-like institutions that offered sleeping places for performers from outside the city. Newspapers provided coverage of the entertainment scene, while agencies supporting the traveling stars and local venues by arranging stationary permits for them to perform, swept the cities. This infrastructure made it easier for traveling performers to keep their performances going for an international public. Mobility in popular entertainment, however, also resulted in controversial assertions and allegations and society at large maintained its reservations against the traveling stars. The image of traveling agents escorting actresses correlated with that of the panic concerning trafficking in women and, since the audience and the locals perceived the performers as “foreigners,” they often maligned the characters of traveling performers. A consequence of this distrustful attitude was that people accused those
involved in vaudevilles, music halls, and Variétés of only being interested in earning easy money, functioning as covers for clandestine prostitution and of producing ‘lowbrow’ cultural materials that were not worth seeing.

The negative effects linked to mobility in popular entertainment, however, juxtapose the feasibilities that mobility and traveling enabled by utilizing them in plays and songs. On the stages of popular entertainment venues, mobility created a space of possibility as a metaphor of replacement. This influenced expressions of Jewishness and gender and enabled the discussion of stereotypes as a performative strategy. Because of this ambivalence between the possibilities and the harsh denunciations linked to the development of mobile popular culture, I have posed the question of whether the audience, the society, and even some of the performers’ peers became afraid of the mobility of popular entertainment.

The performers’ reactions to assertions, devaluations, and criticism, as well as the audience’s commitment to popular entertainment and the strategies used in it provide a potential answer to this question. The actors and actresses rejected such clichés and allegations and invested a great deal of effort in defending themselves and their art. They attempted to both expose and avoid the performing agencies that were involved in exploitation and prostitution, and their comments illustrate their belief that newspapers and agencies should support traveling artists and musicians. In the newspapers for the entertainment business, the performers pilloried directors or managers who attempted to engage women in prostitution. Associations tried to refute the allegations by widely publishing articles and trying to create public awareness not only about grievances in the entertainment business but also about the commitment of serious performers in defending their art against mere assertions. Finally, the support urban audiences gave to the traveling artists and the increasing popularity of their performances indicate that the audience had a committed interest in both the performers and their performances and was indifferent to
assertions brought up against them. The audience members were fans of the Soubrettes’ subversive staging and became quite used to the performative strategy of transferring stereotypes to faraway locations such as Africa or into an enclosed space like a train compartment. The numerous spectators seem to have appreciated the critical voices that could be articulated in popular entertainment and to have allowed the metaphor of traveling to enable “mobility in the minds,” thereby making it possible to address stereotypes and to question them. This in turn transformed the sites of popular entertainment into subversive spaces where gender, antisemitism, and other controversial issues could be discussed—and this may well have evoked fear in the persons who carped about the scene.
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